



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,004	03/30/2004	Toshio Hanada	H6808.0051/P051	2652

24998 7590 09/16/2008  
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP  
1825 EYE STREET NW  
Washington, DC 20006-5403

EXAMINER
----------

NOGUEROLA, ALEXANDER STEPHAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1795

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/16/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Response to Rule 312 Communication</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/812,004	HANADA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1795

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 17 July 2008 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☐ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☒ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

The amendment received on July 17, 2008 appears to be a resubmission of the amendment received and entered on July 11, 2007. Indeed, the first line of the "Introductory Comments" is "In response to the Office action dated April 11, 2007, ...". Moreover this amendment would change the scope of the claims by reverting them to a state before the amendment of November 27, 2007, which had rendered the claims allowable. So because the amendment to the specification, drawings, and title have already previously entered and because the claims would no longer be allowable if the proposed amendment were entered the amendment of July 17, 2008 will not be entered.

/Alex Noguerola/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795  
September 11, 2008